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The Ottoman Empire’s widespread persecution of Assyrian civilians during World War I constituted a form of genocide, the present-day term for an attempt to destroy a national, ethnic, or religious group, in whole or in part. Ottoman soldiers and their Kurdish and Persian militia partners subjected hundreds of thousands of Assyrians to a deliberate and systematic campaign of massacre, torture, abduction, deportation, impoverishment, and cultural and ethnic destruction. Established principles of international law outlawed this war of extermination against Ottoman Christian civilians before it was embarked upon, and ample evidence of genocidal intent has surfaced in the form of admissions by Ottoman officials. Nevertheless, the international community has been hesitant to recognize the Assyrian experience as a form of genocide. The Assyrian genocide is indistinguishable in principle from its Armenian counterpart, however, and its recognition by scholars and the international community may assist in the resettlement and relief of the Assyrian remnant, currently fleeing by the thousands from its homelands in Iraq.

Introduction

Since the invasion of Iraq by a coalition of democratic nations in 2003, the plight of the Christians of that nation has captured the world’s attention in a manner not seen since World War I. What was a steady flow of Assyrian refugees out of Iraq, after the Gulf War and the comprehensive economic sanctions of the 1990s, has accelerated since the 2003 war into a torrent of refugee flight into western Asia, Europe, the United States, and Australia. The international press could no longer ignore the Assyrians’ increasingly desperate straits.1

During and after World War I, newspapers in London, Paris, New York, and Los Angeles regularly reported on the desperate straits imposed on Assyrians, Chaldeans, Nestorians, and Syriac Christians in the Ottoman Empire.2 Like the Armenians, the Assyrians living in Mesopotamia, Persia, Azerbaijan, and Turkey became victims of a genocidal “holy war” declared by the Ottoman Sultan and carried out by the Young Turk regime of Enver Pasha. That this war against the indigenous Christians of the Ottoman Empire was genocidal in character is manifest not only from the admissions of Ottoman and Turkish officials at the highest levels of government but also from those of their German allies in World War I, American and British officials, legions of foreign journalists and missionaries, and, of course, the countless civilian victims of the war’s massacres and deportations.

As described by those who lived them, the events of 1915–1916 in the Ottoman Empire were clearly a form of genocide, the contemporary term for any attempt to destroy a national, ethnic, or religious group in whole or in part. As in other recognized genocides, the Ottomans and their local allies, the Kurds and
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Persians, demonstrated a pattern of deliberate and systematic targeting of Christians as such, including Assyrians, for murder, maiming, enslavement, rape, dispossession, impoverishment, and cultural and ethnic destruction. Nevertheless, governments and historians have not been as willing to recognize the Assyrian experience during and after World War I as a form of genocide, or even to acknowledge the existence and criminality of the Ottoman atrocities against Assyrians, as to give such recognition to the Ottoman genocide of the Armenians. Generally speaking, recognition of the latter by both governments and historians has been more rapid, official, and detailed.

This article will argue that the hesitation to recognize the Assyrian genocide is unjustified, for the evidence is overwhelming that Turks and their Kurdish allies massacred tens, and more likely hundreds, of thousands of Assyrians in order to exterminate the Christian population; raped and enslaved hundreds, and more likely thousands, of Assyrian women in a systematic fashion; and deported the Assyrians en masse from their ancestral lands under conditions that led to famine and widespread death. I will maintain that the more rapid legal recognition and establishment of compensation mechanisms for the Ottoman genocide of Armenians are attributable to the larger numbers of Armenian victims and survivors, as well as to more copious evidence of an intention on the part of the Young Turks to wipe out the Armenian people. In conclusion, I will contend that the legal and historical recognition of the Assyrian genocide at the hands of the Ottomans is vital to focus the world’s attention on the Assyrian remnant in Iraq. That remnant has been dispersed by more than a century of massacre, discrimination, and religious persecution into non-viable communities that must be restored to their homelands, and to their rights of self-determination, or they will scatter around the globe, refused asylum too often.

The Assyrians and the Turks in Mesopotamia and Persia

The Assyrian homeland is in northern Mesopotamia, present-day Iraq, where the ancient cities of Assur and Nineveh were built. For 300 years, Assyrian kings ruled the largest empire the world had yet known. The Assyrian Church of the East records that the Apostle Thomas himself converted the Assyrians to Christianity within a generation after the death of Christ. Christianity was “well established and organized” in Mesopotamia by the third century CE.

The (Assyrian) Church of the East became independent from the Roman Catholic Church in the fifth century CE, after the Patriarch of Constantinople, Nestorius, refused to assent to the concept of theotokos, or the idea that Mary was the mother of God, and not merely of Jesus’ human form. By the sixth century CE, the Church of the East had preached Christianity to the Persians, Medes, Huns, and Bactrians—indeed, throughout the Middle East, from the Persian Gulf to the Caspian Sea, and even as far as India, Tibet, China, Korea, and Japan. One millennium later, the ethnic Assyrians of Mesopotamia and Persia remained Christians, and some of them had entered into communion with Rome by founding the Chaldean Catholic Church.

Although some authors doubt that an Assyrian people could have survived from 600 BCE to the nineteenth century, many of the factors that justify recognizing Armenians, Jews, and other groups as continuously existing since ancient times also apply to the Assyrians: common patterns of worship, consistent self-identification, and genetic continuity. As the early Christian church was growing and evangelizing distant lands, Assyrian identity survived the destruction of Nineveh. Assyrians continued to practice their ancient religion and inhabited their ancient
capital of Assur, rebuilt in a new style. The ancient Assyrian capital of Nineveh was a Nestorian bishopric at the time of the Islamic conquest of Iraq, and Nestorian “Syrian”—or, more likely, Assyrian—Christians living under the Abbasid Caliphs are credited with translating many Greek scientific and philosophical works into Arabic. The modern Assyrians of Iraq and Persia have had such ancient Assyrian names as Sargon and Sennacherib since the earliest European contact with them. The name “Assyria” was also consistently applied to the area around the ancient Assyrian capital of Nineveh, and the Christians of Iraq reaffirmed their Assyrian identity from the earliest French and British contact. Although genetic testing of the Assyrians is just getting under way, such testing as has been done supports the idea that Assyrians very rarely intermarried with the surrounding population, at least in Persia.

The Assyrians have been a people without a state for more than two millennia, since the fall of the empire and sack of Nineveh in 612 BCE. With the Arab conquests of Mesopotamia and neighboring Persia and Syria, as well as Armenia, Egypt, and the Levant, the Eastern Christian peoples fell to a subordinate status. Arab officials decreed the destruction of many churches, the cessation of Christian religious services, the deportation of Christians from the land, the expropriation of their property, and the executions of those who resisted.

For more than a thousand years before Mesopotamia and Persia fell under Turkish domination, Turks had begun infiltrating Mesopotamia from Central Asia, as nomads and imported slaves. The Seljuk Turks seized power from the Baghdad caliphs in the eleventh century, only to be overthrown by the murderous Mongol hordes of Genghis Khan, Hulagu Khan, and Timur the Lame. These forces massacred thousands of people and destroyed many ancient cities, claiming countless Assyrian churches and faithful and driving the Assyrian community into the nearly inhospitable Hakkari mountains of Kurdistan. The Ottoman Turks re-conquered Mesopotamia in the sixteenth century and ruled it, with substantial periods of Safavid Persian and Mamluk Georgian rule intervening, until World War I.

The Nineteenth-Century Massacres of the Ottoman Christians

Historians record that the first massacre of Assyrians in modern times took place in the 1840s, in northern Mesopotamia. The Ottoman Turks allowed the Assyrians to be massacred by the Kurdish chieftain Badr Khan Bey, who summoned the surrounding Muslim population to a “Holy War,” killing 10,000 Assyrians, enslaving many women and children, and ravaging villages. Turkish soldiers and their Kurdish allies murdered the Christians of half a dozen Mesopotamian Christian villages; the surviving women and children were kidnapped and enslaved. Slavery was a common fate of Ottoman Christians in the nineteenth century.

By the turn of the twentieth century, the Ottoman Sultan Abdul Hamid II had created an irregular force of pro-government Kurdish horsemen called the Hamidiye. The Hamidiye massacred and made refugees of the restive Assyrian and Armenian subjects of the Ottoman Empire, as the contemporary Arab Janjaweed in Sudan have done to the indigenous Africans in Darfur. Famine, ravaged towns and villages, and extermination of the Christian population were the legacies of the Hamidiye horsemen. The Kurds organized into the Hamidiye “received assurances that they [would] not be called to answer before the tribunals for any acts of oppression committed against Christians.”
Ottoman forces killed tens of thousands of defenseless Christians in the capital, Constantinople, and in the "provincial towns of the Empire." In 1895, the French vice-consul for the southeastern Anatolian city of Diyarbekir reported a campaign of terror against the Armenians and Assyrians. His description reminds us of Kristallnacht in Nazi Germany: hundreds of Christians were murdered, hundreds of Christian homes ransacked, and hundreds of Christian-owned shops looted and burned. In nearby Urfa, the Edessa of Christian learning, the pogrom launched by the Sultan led to the massacre of 3,000 women and children inside the city's cathedral.

The French ambassador, Paul Cambon, wrote that Asia Minor was "literally in flames," with "massacres everywhere" and Kurds and other Muslims "massacring all Christians without distinction." A French vice-consul wrote to the French ambassador to Constantinople that the Ottoman government had, "for the last few years, been pursuing its goal of gradually annihilating the Christian element" by "giving the Kurdish chieftains carte blanche to do whatever they please, to enrich themselves at the Christians' expense and to satisfy their men's whims." The Hamidiye, the vice-consul declaimed, was "a band of official highway robbers spreading terror throughout this vilayet [province or administrative division] and many others." The "impunity they enjoy for the crimes they commit every day" was "ample proof" of an Ottoman policy of annihilating the Christians of the Empire.

Ottoman Christians found themselves "dispersed" to other regions and living in "deplorable conditions." Their religious leaders predicted that "the Christian element will slowly disappear, either by apostasy, emigration, or massacre." The Ottoman-instigated atrocities of the Kurdish Hamidiye prompted the leaders of the Eastern Christian denominations within the Empire to expect the "complete disappearance of the Christian element."

The Sultan had "consenting awareness" of the massacres of the Assyrians and Armenians within his empire, an awareness of the same character used to indict heads of states and armies for war crimes and genocide. According to a report by the British consul, the Ottoman leadership had granted the Kurdish horsemen guarantees against prosecution for murders of the Sultan's Christian subjects. The massacres of Armenians and other Christians spread to Sasun in 1904, and Adana and Cilicia in 1909. The British and Russians threatened military intervention unless the Ottomans reformed their pattern of persecuting their Christian subjects. But the Sultan never implemented proposed reforms to protect minorities. The Ottoman Empire's campaign to exterminate its Christians had begun, as former British prime minister William Ewart Gladstone recognized in a public speech in 1896.

**Eyewitness Accounts of the Ottoman Genocide of the Assyrians during World War I**

In the second decade of the twentieth century, the Ottoman Empire suffered a string of setbacks that set its leaders on a much more violent and fanatical course. A coalition of Austrian, Greek, Bulgarian, and Serbian forces drove the Turkish occupiers out of their erstwhile imperial provinces in Europe, routing their armies and inflicting thousands of casualties. An ultranationalist group called the Committee of Union and Progress (CUP), or "Young Turks," emerged after 1905 and steadily gained control over the Ottoman government and legal system. The Young
Turks seized power in 1913, forming a military dictatorship run by the triumvirate of Ismail Enver Pasha, minister of war; Mehmet Talât Pasha, minister of interior affairs; and Ahmet Cemal Pasha, minister of the navy.49 The Young Turks imposed “Ottomanization” and began conscripting Christians into the army for the first time in many years, driving many Assyrians and other Christians to flee the country.50

On 14 November 1914, less than two weeks after the Ottoman Empire declared war on the Entente (Great Britain, France, and Russia), the Sultan, still acting as a figurehead for the Young Turk regime, declared a jihad or holy war “against the enemies of Islam, who have proven their hostility by their attacks on the Caliphate.”51 The next day, a key CUP official led a march through Istanbul “meant to demonstrate the people’s agreement with the Sultan’s declaration of holy war against the enemies of Islam.”52 The Sheikh al-Islam, a CUP appointee and the highest religious authority in the Ottoman regime, endorsed the declaration of jihad and proclaimed it in print; violence against Christian Armenians quickly followed.53 These declarations of jihad “incited wrath toward Christian minorities in the Ottoman lands, and...later facilitated the government’s program of Genocide against the Armenians”—and, as it happened, the Assyrians.54

The Turks, reinforced by Kurdish irregulars, invaded Russian-controlled northern Persia in the winter of 1914, and in early January 1915 they forced a Russian evacuation of the northern Persian cities of Urmia, Tabriz, Salmas, Diliman, and Gulpashan, among others.55 Kurdish irregulars would serve as important allies to the Ottoman military in World War I, as the Hamidiye contingents had done prior to the turn of the century.56

A key source of evidentiary support for the existence of the Armenian and Assyrian genocides is the famous “Blue Book” compiled by Viscount James Bryce and Arnold Toynbee in 1916, commonly known by the title under which it was released by the British Foreign Office: The Treatment of Armenians in the Ottoman Empire 1915–16. The British government commissioned Viscount Bryce and Mr. Toynbee, a young historian affiliated with Oxford University, to prepare a “general narrative” of the “accounts of massacres and deportations of the Christian population of Asiatic Turkey,” accounts that had increased in “number and fullness of detail.”57 Most of these accounts were communicated to Toynbee via the United States, then professing neutrality in World War I, from citizens of neutral countries, often American missionaries.58 More than three dozen of the reports in the Blue Book constituted official State Department records.59

The original title of this compilation of American and European eyewitness testimony and documentation of the Armenian and Assyrian genocides was “Papers and Documents on the Treatment of Armenians and Assyrian Christians by the Turks, 1915–1916, in the Ottoman Empire and North-West Persia.”60 Bryce, something of a “champion of the Ottoman Armenians,”61 had removed the reference to Assyrian Christians in the title of the Blue Book prior to its publication by Her Majesty’s Stationery Office.62 The deletion of the accounts of the Assyrian massacres from the French translation of the Blue Book presented to the Paris Peace Conference of 1919–1920 further distorted the historical record.63 The Blue Book documents how, under Turkish occupation and “urged on and followed by Turkish officers and troops,” the Kurds and other Muslims in and around Urmia “set to work robbing and looting, killing men and women and outraging
the women."64 Turkish forces directly massacred the Christian population and failed to prevent many other massacres, leading to

the murder of over one thousand people—men, women, and children; the outraging of hundreds of women and girls of every age—from eight or nine years old to old age; the total robbing of about five-sixths of the Christian population; and the total destruction of about the same proportion of their houses.65

At least 4,000 perished from disease while or after being driven from their homes or lands.66 Kidnapping and sexual slavery were used to destroy the Christian community: "Over two hundred girls and women were carried off into captivity, to be forced to embrace Islam and to accept Mohammedan husbands."67

Another eyewitness account recorded in the Blue Book states that in the largest "Syrian" or Assyrian village in Urmia,68 all the men were hauled over to the cemetery to be murdered, while the "women and girls [were] treated barbarously," and sixty men were removed from the French Mission and summarily shot.69 In the Catholic Mission in Urmia, dozens of Christians, including an Episcopal bishop, "were bound together one night, taken to Gagain mountain and there shot down."70 A minister affiliated with the Church of England's mission to Assyrians reported that "those who died from the slaughter and raiding of villages numbered 6,000."71 Another report estimated 8,500 deaths in and around Urmia in five months in 1915.72

Many other Assyrians in Persia suffered a similar fate under the Turks. In Salmas, a town in Persia inhabited by more than 2,000 Assyrians, the Turks gathered together and massacred about 800 Christians, mostly women and older men, prior to the Turkish withdrawal from the area.73 Some Christian men "were tied with their heads sticking through the rungs of a ladder and decapitated, others hacked to pieces or mutilated before death."74 In Diliman, Persia, "all the males above twelve years of age...were taken to two neighboring villages, tortured and shot."75 In Gulpashan, Persia, dozens of men were tied together to be shot outside the village, their "wives and daughters distributed among the Turks, Kurds, and Persian Mohammedans."76 About one-fifth of the 30,000 Assyrians living in Urmia and its surrounding villages died, and their villages were the most part torched, with their cultural property, their churches, reduced to ruin.77 These accounts from the Blue Book are corroborated by American diplomatic files, which document that

During the period of Turkish occupation [of northwestern Persia], from January 1st to May 24th [1915], all the Christian villages and all the Christians living in Moslem villages were completely looted, men were killed, women were violated and some two hundred girls taken away captive... thousands died of disease.78

American missionary William A. Shedd reported to the US minister to Persia that one-fifth of the total population of Christians in the Urmia region had perished in the first five months or so of 1915 alone and that the vast majority of families had had all their property stolen.79

In Turkey itself, the Assyrians were caught up with the Armenians in a common genocidal campaign against Christians. Thousands of Assyrians and Chaldeans were caught up with nearly half a million Armenians in massacres, widespread assaults against woman and girls, and pillaging of immeasurable amounts of property.80 Referring to southeastern Turkey, German missionary Johannes Lepsius wrote,
“In certain places, as in Mardin, all Christians have suffered the same fate without differentiation as to race or denomination.”

The Blue Book reports that the governor of the vilayet of Van, Djemdet Bey, led massacres of its Christian, mostly Armenian, population. Another source reports that two dozen or more Assyrian and Chaldean villages in Van lost hundreds of civilians to these massacres. Djevdet Bey formed special divisions of Turkish troops known as “butcher battalions” (Kassab Tabouri), which massacred the men of Bitlis. His troops and their local allies collected all the women and the girls in an open area, systematically assaulted them, and then sold them into slavery or gave them as “gifts” to one another. Similarly, in Bashkala (Bachcelet), a town in Van, “many hundreds (perhaps some thousands) of Armenians and Syrians...[were] massacred.” Armenians reported that the women and children of the Bashkala area had been either killed or forced into “a captivity worse than death.”

The Chaldean population of Turkey generally shared the fate of the Armenians, including 8,000 Chaldeans killed in the diocese and village of Seert; nearly 4,000 killed in the city and diocese of Adana; many Chaldean families killed in the villages surrounding the diocese of Diyarbekir (save for about forty families in Diyarbekir itself); and hundreds of Chaldeans from dozens of families deported from the city of Mardin, the diocese of Jazirah, and the diocese of Amadiya. About 500 Christians met their end in a massacre inside a Chaldean church.

The slaughter of Christians described in the Blue Book was not confined to Turkey or to northern Persia but extended to Mesopotamia. The Assyrians, after suffering “massacres and aggressions” instigated by Turkish officials and carried out by Kurds, had declared independence from the Ottomans, giving the “best of pretexts” to the Kurds to attack them “under Turkish instigation.” Even prior to the war, the Turks had refused to restrain Kurdish forces from slaughtering Christians and plundering their habitations. An American missionary reported that his countrymen “would have been ashamed not to resist under such circumstances.” Those Christians who could not fight back had fled to Urmia from the districts of Tergawar, Dasht, and Mergawar, which, according to Dr. Harry P. Packard of the Board of Foreign Missions of the Presbyterian Church, “had been destroyed.” An American missionary reported, and the US minister to Persia corroborated, that in October 1914, prior to the Assyrian declaration of independence, “mixed forces encroached upon the city of Urmia, robbing and looting two Christian villages, killing many non-combatants.” The news of these massacres in the northwest “and the hope of support from the Russians eventually led to the [Assyrian] patriarch officially declaring war on Turkey in the name of his nation (Millet) on May 10, 1915.” Thus, although the Assyrians lacked the political parties and proximity to the Ottoman capital that made the Armenians a perceived threat to the Young Turks, they adopted a sympathetic position to the liberation of Eastern Christians by czarist Russia that threatened the Ottoman Empire’s expansion.

In the Hakkari mountains of northern Mesopotamia, which also extend into northern Persia and southeastern Turkey, the Turks and their Kurdish allies destroyed many Christian villages and plundered the crops and goods there, condemning the Christian population to mass starvation. An American missionary stationed in northern Mesopotamia reported that the Kurds there “had interpreted
the deportations of the Armenians as another decree against all Christians. The Blue Book states that forty villages in one district of the Hakkari region had only seventeen survivors between them.

When the Turks were forced out of Persia by the Russians in May [1915], the Turks turned on their own Assyrians. In mid-June... an attack was launched on the mountainous dwellings of the Assyrians, initially... in the Hakkari district, the seat of their spiritual leader, whose title is Mar Shimun... The Turks tried to starve them out... [in what was] only the beginning of the upheaval, dispersion and massacre that characterized the history of the Assyrians throughout the war and into the mid-1930s.

Assisted by Ottoman troops, Kurds entered Goele, a village of 300 Assyrian Catholic and Protestant families, and murdered the men, enslaved the women and children, and pillaged the houses in the village. In another Assyrian village of fifty houses, Kurds attacked and killed the entire defenseless population. Johannes Lepsius reported a massacre of 250 Chaldeans in Jazirah (Djesire), in northwestern Mesopotamia.

By the summer of 1915 the Kurds had carried out the “proclamation of Jihad” and had “ravaged” Assyrian villages of Mesopotamia, driving the Assyrians into a desperate flight to Urmia. After the Russian revolution and the dissolution of the czarist army, the Assyrian nation embarked upon a “routed, headlong, and massacre-haunted straggle” out of northern Persia and over the mountains back into British-controlled Mesopotamia. In 1916, sixteen bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church of the United States issued an appeal declaring that Assyrian refugees from the Hakkari mountains were “living in barns” and were “so lean and emaciated that death will get at them wholesale.”

The British accepted the “remnant” of the Assyrian population into refugee camps, only one-third having survived the depredations of the Turks and Kurds. After World War I, the Turks prevented these refugees from returning to the Hakkari mountains, and forces loyal to Mustafa Kemal “ Atatürk” murdered many of the surviving Assyrian men not under British or Soviet protection; raped many young girls and sold others into harem slavery; and deported 8,000 Christians from Mesopotamia into the interior of Turkey. In 1925, the Turks ordered Kurdish chiefs to massacre the Assyrians; Turkish soldiers and Kurds murdered many Assyrians, raped and kidnapped women, plundered houses, and deported populations in a way that ensured many deaths from starvation and disease. Tens of thousands had died from “perpetual attacks on all sides from the Turks, Kurds and Persians alike” and from smallpox, other diseases, and the heat, which combined to claim children and the elderly in particular. Only about 20,000 Assyrians lived in Iraq by the 1940s, a number that was equaled or eclipsed by the number living in the Soviet Union (20,000) and in Chicago (30,000).

The Turks extended their policy of exterminating the Christians of the empire to the Armenians, Greeks, Syrians, and Lebanese. More than 1.5 million Armenians perished in a premeditated campaign of disarmament, assassination of political
and cultural leaders, massacre, systematic rape, deportation, pillage, and famine.\textsuperscript{115} According to an Associated Press report, of 500,000 Greeks deported from Thrace, in Asia Minor, an estimated 250,000, or half, died of disease and torture.\textsuperscript{116} Starting in 1910, the Ottoman Turks made about one million Greeks homeless and deported hundreds of thousands; as many as 300,000 Greeks died of hunger, disease, and the cold as a result.\textsuperscript{117} In the 1920s, the Turkish nationalists massacred about 200,000 more Christians, mostly Greeks, in cities such as Smyrna. Greek men became victims of murder, torture, and starvation; Greek women suffered all this and also became slaves in Muslim households; Greek children wandered the streets as orphans “half-naked and begging for bread”; and millions of dollars’ worth of Greek property passed into Muslim hands.\textsuperscript{118} In Syria and Lebanon, “the young Turks purposely created a famine that achieved the death of at least 100,000 people.”\textsuperscript{119}

### Diplomatic and Journalistic Confirmation of the Assyrian Genocide

Viscount James Bryce, former British ambassador to the United States, described Turkish crimes against Assyrians and Chaldeans during World War I as follows:

The bloodstained annals of the East contain no record of massacres more unprovoked, more widespread or more terrible than those perpetrated by the Turkish Government upon the Christians of Anatolia and Armenia in 1915. It was the sufferings of the Armenians that chiefly drew the attention of Britain and America because they were the most numerous among the ecclesiastical bodies, and the slaughter was, therefore, on a larger scale. But the minor communities, such as the Nestorian and Assyro-Chaldean churches, were equally the victims of the plan for exterminating Christianity, root and branch, although the Turks had never ventured to allege that these communities had given any ground of offense. An account of these massacres, organized and carried out with every circumstance of cruelty by Enver and Talaat, chiefs of the ruffianly gang who were then in power in Constantinople, has been given in the Blue Book, published by the British Foreign Office in 1916, and entitled “Treatment of the Armenians in the Ottoman Empire.”... similar cruelties [were] perpetrated upon members of the Assyro-Chaldean Church in which about half of them, men, women and children, perished at the hands of Turkish murderers and robbers.\textsuperscript{120}

American diplomatic and journalistic sources confirmed Ambassador Bryce’s charge of an Ottoman policy to exterminate Christians other than the Armenians. According to the American ambassador to Constantinople from 1913 to 1916, Henry I. Morgenthau, widely regarded as a principal source of information on the Armenian Genocide: “The story which I have told about the Armenians I could also tell with certain modifications about the Greeks and the Syrians,” as Assyrians were often known to the West, especially those adhering to the Syrian Orthodox Church.\textsuperscript{121} He added that the “Turks afterward decided to apply the same methods [of deportation and “wholesale massacre”] on a larger scale not only to the Greeks but to the Armenians, Syrians, Nestorians, and others of its subject peoples.”\textsuperscript{122} In December 1918, according to the Los Angeles Times, Ambassador Morgenthau told an audience in Chicago that the Turks “have massacred fully 2,000,000 men, women, and children—Greeks, Assyrians, Armenians; fully 1,500,000 Armenians.”\textsuperscript{123}

The American consul in Aleppo, Syria, reported to the US secretary of state that “from Mardin the Government deported great numbers of Syrians, Catholics, Caldeans, and Protestants, and it is feared all Christians may later be included in
the order and possibly even the Jews. They cry ‘Turkey for the Moslems’…” 124

An American consular agent in Urfa, southeastern Turkey, documented how, throughout the summer of 1915, thousands of Christian refugees had passed through the city, all relating the same sequence of events: the murder of all the men on the roads out their cities, the “criminal abuse[ ]” and kidnapping of the women and girls, the theft of all “money, bedding, and clothing.” 125 His report adds, “The poor weak women and children died by thousands along the roads and in the khan where they were confined here.” 126

Another American diplomat reported that the Assyrians and Armenians of Harput, Turkey, were deported by a publicly announced order covering both groups in the summer of 1915. 127 In the context of the grinding poverty and wartime deprivations in Turkey, such deportation orders meant “a lingering and perhaps even more dreadful death for nearly every one” than a massacre, with probably less than one in 100 deportees surviving, as the American consul wrote to the US ambassador. 128 The roads were already populated by roving bands of marauding Kurds ready to rob and murder the deportees. 129

In July 1915, the German ambassador in Constantinople described to the German Imperial Chancellor how the Ottoman governor of Diyarbekir, Reşid Bey, had supervised the systematic extermination (systematischen Ausrottung) of the Christian population of his district, without regard to ethnicity or creed (der Rasse und der Konfession), but including in particular Chaldeans and Assyrians (non-uniate Syrians, German nicht unierten Syrer). 130 The German consul in Mosul had blamed Reşid Bey for the massacre of the exclusively Chaldean population of the village of Faysh Khabour (Feihschabhur) near Jazirah (Djesireh). 131 The German vice-consul in Mosul had reported in July 1915 that the Chaldean, Syrian, and Armenian men of the towns of Seert, Mardin, and Faysh Khabour had been massacred (massakriert), with 1,200 of their female relatives and children arriving or about to arrive in Mosul in “indescribable” (unbeschreiblich) conditions; the women and children were dying of hunger “daily.” 132 Similarly, an October 1915 dispatch from the German consul in Syria to the German ambassador in Constantinople states,

Further evidence has been found that the measures [i.e., extermination and deportation] I described in my report dated 3 September – B.No. 1950 – which were to be taken against the Armenians in the eastern Vilayets have now become such against the Christians. The acting Syrian (Syrian Catholic) bishop told me that a total of 300 children and older women from his denomination have arrived here from Kharpout, Diarbekr, Weranscheher and Mardin. The rest of the parishioners has probably been killed or kidnapped…

The Chaldeans in [Seert] (Vilayet Bitlis) and [Jazirah] (Vilayet Diarbekir) and all of the Christians in Djebel et Tor north of Mardin have been exterminated. 133

A previous report from the same diplomat had declared that, in the eastern provinces of the Ottoman Empire, Assyrians and Chaldeans had “already for a long time” been reported either “killed” (getötet) or “banished” (verbannt). 134

German military officers, diplomats, and civilians also witnessed the planning and execution of the genocide of Armenian, Assyrian, and Greek Christians as it unfolded. The accounts of German ambassadors and other officials dealing with the Ottoman Empire are replete with such terms as “extermination,” “massacre,” “destruction,” “slaughter,” “systematic butchery,” and “murder of thousands of human beings.” 135 As the Ottomans’ main ally in World War I, the Germans had military officers “stationed throughout the Empire”; they trained and led
Turkish troops, and their “military commanders and soldiers undoubtedly knew, saw, and it is alleged [indirectly] participated” in the genocide of Ottoman Christians.\textsuperscript{136} The German government officially protested the murders ongoing in the Ottoman Empire during the summer of 1915.\textsuperscript{137} German missionary Johannes Lepsius—in close contact with the German government, as reflected in its ambassadors’ reports\textsuperscript{138}—“produced two publications containing unique documentary material about the political links between imperial Germany and the extermination policy of the Young Turks”; a substantial portion of these accounts was devoted to the Assyrian genocide.\textsuperscript{139} The evidence of German military and diplomatic awareness and complicity in the Ottoman genocide of Armenians and other Christians has filled an entire book.\textsuperscript{140}

Numerous articles in the American press documented the genocide of Assyrians by the Turks and their Kurdish allies. By 1918, the \textit{Los Angeles Times} carried the story of a Syrian, or most likely Assyrian, merchant from Urmia who stated that his city was “completely wiped out, the inhabitants massacred,” 200 surrounding villages ravaged, 200,000 of his people dead, and hundreds of thousands of more starving to death in exile from their agricultural lands.\textsuperscript{141} In an article entitled “Native Christians Massacred,” the Associated Press correspondent reported that in the vicinity of Urmia, “Turkish regular troops and Kurds are persecuting and massacring Assyrian Christians.”\textsuperscript{142} Close to 800 were confirmed dead in Urmia, and another 2,000 had perished from disease.\textsuperscript{143} Two hundred Assyrians had been burned to death inside a church, and the Russians had discovered more than 700 bodies of massacre victims in the village of Hafedewan outside Urmia, “mostly naked and mutilated,” some with gunshot wounds, others decapitated, and still others carved to pieces.\textsuperscript{144}

A few days earlier, the Associated Press had relayed a report from the American consul at Tabriz stating that “the Turkish consul at Urumia forced his way into the [American Christian] mission with a number of regular Turkish troops and removed some Assyrian Christian refugees, who were then massacred.”\textsuperscript{145} Many other members of the “little tribe” of Assyrians had been enslaved by Kurds, and those “who did not escape or were made slaves, perished.”\textsuperscript{146} Tens of thousands of Assyrians fled their homes for Russian or American protection; many died en route.\textsuperscript{147}

Other leading British and American newspapers corroborated these accounts of the Assyrian genocide. The \textit{New York Times} reported on 11 October that 12,000 Persian Christians had died of massacre, hunger, or disease; thousands of girls as young as seven had been raped or forcibly converted to Islam; 120 Christian villages had been destroyed, and three-fourths of Christian villages burned to the ground.\textsuperscript{148} The \textit{Times} of London was perhaps the first widely respected publication to document the fact that 250,000 Assyrians and Chaldeans eventually died in the Ottoman genocide of Christians,\textsuperscript{149} a figure which many journalists and scholars have subsequently accepted.\textsuperscript{150} Among other violence, Turks and Kurds exterminated 12,000 Nestorian and Assyrian civilians in Urmia; huge mass graves holding up to 1,500 bodies were dug.\textsuperscript{151} The Ottomans and their allies plundered and burned about 150 Nestorian villages.\textsuperscript{152} Their Persian allies seized the opportunity to kidnap and enslave women and children and to forcibly convert them to Islam.\textsuperscript{153} The Persian governor of Urmia had steel and lime dust baked into the bread purchased by Christian missionaries tending to Assyrian refugees, so that thousands of the refugees perished from eating contaminated food before
local doctors realized what was happening.\textsuperscript{154} About half of the Assyrian nation died of murder, disease, or exposure as refugees during the war, according to the head of the Anglican Church, which had a mission to the Assyrians.\textsuperscript{155} Famine and want were the fate of the survivors, whose homes, villages, churches, and schools had been wiped out.\textsuperscript{156}

The *Washington Post* reported in March 1915 that “Turkish regular troops and Kurds are persecuting and massacring Assyrian Christians.”\textsuperscript{157} According to a letter from an American eyewitness, many of the thousands of Christian refugees in Urmia were “murdered in cold blood and with cruel tortures by the Kurds,” with “women and children carried off” into slavery.\textsuperscript{158} In the village of Diza, south of Urmia, Kurdish forces had buried 3,000 Christians up to their chins, riding on horseback over and crushing the skulls of those who survived the first day of this ordeal.\textsuperscript{159} The *Post* also described how rampaging Kurds, spurred on by the Ottoman Empire’s declaration of *jihad* the previous winter, exterminated the local population of Christians unable to flee because they were too old, sick, or incapacitated.\textsuperscript{160} The Kurds carried flags proclaiming the “holy war.”\textsuperscript{161} As thousands of Assyrians fled Urmia through the snowy fields to avoid bands of Kurds on the roads, the men were massacred and many girls as young as seven or eight years old “were openly assaulted.”\textsuperscript{162} In Gulpashan, Kurds tore sixty-five Christian men out of missions, to which they had fled for safety, and hanged them.\textsuperscript{163}

According to one American citizen engaged in missionary work in Persia, Turks and Kurds killed nearly every Assyrian Christian they found in the town of Kochanis, on Turkish territory, and in the Christian villages and towns in the surrounding area, and destroyed most or all of the churches and religious buildings.\textsuperscript{164} This account adds that by October 1914, the Turkish government had impelled an organized army of Kurds to “expel[ ] several thousand Christians” from Turkish villages adjoining Persia and to “plunder and burn the Christian villages” in Persia adjoining eastern Turkey.\textsuperscript{165} On the road north to Russia, this missionary and another eyewitness saw thousands of Christians starving to death in the fields, children dying by the hundreds, as well as dozens of abandoned orphans.\textsuperscript{166}

These diplomatic and journalistic accounts, as well as the accounts collected in the Blue Book, establish a series of critical facts about the Ottoman genocide of the Assyrians. First, the Turks and their Kurdish allies massacred untold thousands of Assyrians as part of a campaign to, in Ambassador Bryce’s words, “exterminate[ ] Christianity, root and branch,” in the empire. Second, reputable publications such as the *Times* of London and the *Los Angeles Times* confirm that 200,000 to 250,000 Assyrians and Chaldeans lost their lives in the Ottoman Christian genocide. Third, the rape, kidnapping, and enslavement of Assyrian women were systematic and empire-wide, rather than being the fault of a few scattered criminals or unruly mobs. Fourth, the Assyrians were “equally” (in the words of Ambassador Bryce) and by the “same methods” (in the words of Ambassador Morgenthau) subject to the Ottoman Turkish plan to wipe out the Armenian people. Fifth, the Turks deported the Assyrians en masse from their ancestral lands, confiscating thousands of homes and other property that would be of an inestimably large value today (a single apartment in present-day Turkey may be worth more than US$100,000, while a single villa may be worth more than US$200,000).\textsuperscript{167} Finally, this pattern of deportations and denial of housing caused thousands of Assyrians to die of other political and criminal violence, as well as of hunger, disease, exhaustion, and exposure to the elements.
Genocide as a Crime by World War I

The present-day Republic of Turkey, as well as its defenders and certain scholars, concedes that killings or even massacres of Christians took place within the Ottoman Empire during World War I but rejects the notion that these massacres fit the technical legal definition of genocide. To start with, the Turks make the technical legal argument that genocide was not a crime at all in 1915 or 1916. As the Web site of the Turkish government points out, the term “genocide” was not invented until 1944, and the crime was not definitively codified into law until 1948, with the UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (UNCG). 168

The same Web site also reproduces, with apparent approval, an account of the “relocation” of the Armenians during World War I, which argues that the only evidence of a campaign of destruction against the Armenians was “wartime propaganda” produced by Britain and America. 169 According to this account, all that happened was that when the government “relocated” Armenians living in the “war zone,” the “security measures were inadequate,” leading to repeated attacks on convoys “by Kurd, Circassian[,] vindictive Armenian, Turkish and Muslim people on the way.” 170 The number of deaths due to such attacks, however, was “very low,” even though just one Ottoman document records a massacre of 500 people. 171 The Armenians themselves triggered these relocations, the story goes, by their rebelliousness and alliance with Russia, “not their ethnic or religious identity.” 172 Britain’s Foreign and Commonwealth Office appears to concede the general thrust of Turkey’s claims, calling the Armenian massacres a “terrible episode” but not “genocide.” 173

These responses by the Turkish and British governments to the evidence of an Ottoman genocide of Christians warrant careful review. Their arguments raise several important questions, including (1) whether any laws criminalizing genocide were in existence during World War I, (2) whether there is any evidence of genocide aside from the “wartime propaganda” of Britain and America, and (3) whether the evidence indicates the requisite intention on the part of the Ottoman government to attempt to wipe out a group or groups of people.

To start with, if the Ottoman Empire committed genocide against its Christian population during World War I, this conduct was certainly criminal, as the Turks themselves admitted. International customary law recognized genocide as a crime prior to its incorporation into the UNCG of 1948, which defines “genocide” as killing, wounding, starving, or sterilizing members of a group “with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, [the] national, ethnical, racial, or religious group.” 174 The signatories to the UNCG itself recognized that genocide was already a crime by adopting language providing that “that at all periods of history genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity” and that they merely “confirm[ed]” its criminality, whether committed during war or in peacetime. 175 The Nuremberg tribunal had already indicted high Nazi officials for deliberate and systematic genocide, viz., the extermination of racial and national groups, against the civilian populations of certain occupied territories in order to destroy particular races and classes of people and national, racial, or religious groups, particularly Jews, Poles, and Gypsies and others. 176

As the International Court of Justice has held, the “principles underlying the [Genocide] Convention are recognised by civilised nations as binding on States even without any conventional [i.e., treaty] obligation.” 177 Genocide is therefore,
as a UN report has described it, a recent term for “an old crime.” In addition to international treaties such as the UNCG, international law acknowledges the binding character of general practices and principles of law adopted by civilized nations, as illustrated by national and international judicial decisions and the teachings of experts on international law.

Massacring civilians, as the Ottomans did in World War I, had been recognized as a war crime for centuries and had formed the basis for historic national and international criminal tribunals. By the first decade of the twentieth century, international treaty law specifically prohibited wartime violations against “the lives of persons,” “family honour and rights,” and “private property as well as religious convictions and practice.” His Majesty the Emperor of the Ottomans was among the signatories to this treaty, and thus agreed to its preamble, which declared that, in cases not specifically provided for, “the law of nations” and “the laws of humanity” protect the inhabitants of war zones. As Nuremberg established, violations of this treaty, known as the Hague Convention Respecting the Laws and Customs of War on Land, were recognized as crimes from 1907 on.

With international customary law on their side, Britain, France, and Russia, the Entente powers, issued a joint declaration in May 1915 characterizing the “connivance and often assistance of Ottoman authorities” in massacres of Armenians over the previous month as “new crimes of Turkey against humanity and civilization.” By that declaration the Entente announced publicly “that they [would] hold personally responsible… all members of the Ottoman government and those of their agents who are implicated in such massacres.” The declaration recognized the Ottoman authorities’ prosecution of the war as criminal and constituted “a public and joint commitment to prosecute after the war those responsible for the crimes perpetrated.”

After losing the war, Turkey commenced its own prosecutions of those responsible. An interim Ottoman government tried and convicted ministers Enver, Talât, and Cemal of widespread massacres, war crimes, and atrocities and sentenced them to death in absentia. The court-martial concluded that all the testimony and documents show that… bands of brigands were formed for the sole purpose of massacring and destroying the caravans of the (Armenian) deportees. It is fully proven that these massacres were taking place on the immediate orders and full knowledge of Talat, Enver, and Cemal.

As the New York Times reported in mid-July 1919, the triumvirate were “condemned to death” by the court-martial “for joining in the war and for the Armenian, Greek, and Syrian atrocities and deportations.” A Turkish tribunal found that the orders for the Armenian massacres in particular had issued directly from Istanbul. The founder of modern Turkey, Mustafa Kemal “Atatürk,” later captured the sentiments in Turkey that led to the trials of those Young Turks responsible for the Ottoman genocide of the Christian population:

These left-overs from the former Young Turk Party, who should have been made to account for the lives of millions of our Christian subjects who were ruthlessly driven en masse, from their homes and massacred, have… hitherto lived on plunder, robbery and bribery…

After the defeat of the Ottoman Empire in World War I, the Treaty of Sèvres recognized that the “terrorist regime” of the Young Turks had victimized their “subjects of non-Turkish race” with massacres, disappearances, forcible conversions to
Islam, and unjust and illegal expropriations of homes and businesses. The treaty denied the legitimacy of Turkish claims to lands inhabited by Christians by excluding Mesopotamia, Syria, and Greece from the boundaries of the post-imperial Turkish state and purported to guarantee equal rights and religious freedom to those non-Turks and Christians remaining subjects of Turkey. Along with the Charter of the League of Nations and the Treaty of Versailles, the delegates to the Paris Peace Conference, most notably the president of the United States, the prime minister of Great Britain, and the premier of France, intended the Treaty of Sèvres to frame a more peaceful post-war world.

The Treaty of Sèvres, which the Ottoman government signed in 1920, required Turkey to hand over to Allied custody those of its nationals who were “responsible for the massacres” and to recognize whatever tribunal the Allied powers designated to try the perpetrators as criminals under international law. But by the next year the British had abandoned their prosecutions of the Young Turks and surrendered many suspects held in their custody to the new government of Atatürk, in exchange for the repatriation of British prisoners of war. Atatürk had promised to prosecute these leaders in Turkish courts; in 1923, however, his government declared a general amnesty for all those convicted of war crimes by courts-martial. As Atatürk threatened Mesopotamian oil reserves, Britain and France decided to conclude the Treaty of Lausanne in 1923, with its “moral horror[s]” of the Orthodox Christian expulsion from Turkey, no protections for Armenians, and a secret annex granting amnesty to Turkish war criminals.

Despite the success of the Kemalist revolution and the concessions wrought from the oil-thirsty Entente at Lausanne, the fact remains that the Ottoman government did acknowledge the criminal character of the massacres of Christian civilians that took place during World War I. This disposes of the two principal defenses of the conduct of the Turks from the charge of genocide: that the charge that the Ottomans attempted to wipe out the Christians of the empire is merely Western propaganda, and that any alleged attempt to exterminate minority racial and religious groups did not constitute a criminal act under international law as it stood during World War I. Only one weighty legal question remains: Is there sufficient evidence of intent to eliminate a racial, ethnic, or religious group for the charge of genocide to be made in a persuasive manner?

The Ottoman Plan to Exterminate the Assyrians
Assuming that the UNCG or some other law criminalizing genocide did apply in 1915, the Turks and their defenders argue that the UNCG requires “specific intent” to destroy members of a group as such, which was lacking in the Ottoman Empire’s approach to its Christian minorities, including the Armenians and Assyrians. For example, the Web site of the Turkish government states that Armenians were killed by “local Muslims,” whose actions the Ottoman armies neither ordered nor participated in. Indeed, the Ottoman authorities ordered their subordinate officials to “protect relocated Armenians” from local Muslims. The British government appears to agree with this general line of argumentation, condemning “the massacres of 1915–16…as a tragedy of historic proportions” but not recognizing them as “genocide” because of “the absence of unequivocal evidence to show that the Ottoman administration took a specific decision to eliminate the Armenians under their control at the time.”
Absent a governmental intention to exterminate the Christians of the empire, it would be nearly impossible to explain how the massacres, rapes, deportations, and disposessions of the Armenian, Assyrian, and Greek Christians living in the Ottoman Empire at the time of World War I could have taken place on such a vast scale.202 How could such a remarkable degree of coordination and common purpose in slaughtering civilians, ravaging women, orphaning children, and stealing money and property have emerged without organization and direction from above? Indeed, it takes little searching to uncover abundant evidence of planning for genocide.

Interior Minister Talât, initially the most powerful member of the CUP, believed in “Turkey for the Turks,” or getting rid of the ancient Christian peoples stranded in the Ottoman Empire.203 After the 1908 coup that propelled the Young Turks to positions of power in the Ottoman government, the German ambassador to Athens reported a conversation with the Turkish prime minister in which he learned that “The Turks have decided upon a war of extermination against their Christian subjects.”204 In 1910, the leaders of the CUP held a party conference during which they discussed how “the complete Ottomanization of all Turkish subjects must be effected, but it was becoming clear that this could never be achieved by persuasion, and recourse must be had to force of arms.”205 In 1911, a prominent Young Turk declared that the “nations that remain from the old times in our empire are akin to foreign and harmful weeds that must be uprooted.”206

When the Russians advanced in the Caucasus, and the British marched north from Mesopotamia, the Ottoman “policy of [Christian] oppression broadened across the empire and increased to genocidal proportions.”207 Soon after the Sultan’s declaration of jihad in 1914, the Ottomans, seized with “anti-Christian chauvinism,” deported into other parts of Anatolia the entire Christian population of the Gallipoli peninsula and the area around the Sea of Marmora, more than 60,000 people.208 “Christians…were cast as collective targets when Talat and Cemal threatened reprisals against them” for any Muslim war dead.209 The central government disseminated wartime propaganda of a consistently anti-Christian theme, which, surprisingly, was often written or inspired by Germans:

At the outbreak of hostilities the Germans worked with all their power to incite the Mohammedan world…. The plan was to start a holy war, as in that way it would be possible to stir into action millions of Moslems from Persia, India, Afghanistan, Baluchistan, Arabia, Turkestan, and other Mohammedan countries. With a force of from ten to fifteen million armed Mussulmans they planned to march against Russia first. Naturally, the Russians being occupied in fighting such an army, this would give the Germans better opportunities on the Western fronts…

The ablest German writers were enlisted…. It was reported that the English were destroyed and their greatest generals captured. [Proclamations reported the total defeat of the French and the Russians, and the deaths of most of the English armies.] The Moslem crusade, they said, was being carried on in Egypt, Tunis, Algeria, Afghanistan, Baluchistan, India, the Sudan. These utterly false reports constitute one of the principal reasons why the Mohammedans, in Turkey and in some other parts of the Moslem world, have been led to take sides against the cause of the Allies.210

An American missionary tasked by the US minister to Persia with providing a complete account of the massacres in that country corroborated this account, stating that the use of the Kurdish tribes was a part of the Turkish plan of campaign, and they were urged and sent by responsible Turkish officers, military, civil and consular. It was made more dangerous to Christians by the cry of Jihad (or holy war), which was
Similarly, James L. Barton, Foreign Secretary of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, wrote that, “soon after Turkey entered the war on the side of the Central Powers, an effort was made to unite all the Moslem peoples under Pan-Islam and to declare a Holy War.”

By 1914, the Ottomans had built yet another apparatus of “ethnic war.” The Teşkilat-ı Mahsusa, or Special Organization, was a force of more than 30,000 men under arms, composed of Turkish law-enforcement officers and criminal bands under the command of Ottoman army officers and CUP political leaders. The Special Organization eventually became “a dedicated instrument of indiscriminate mass murder.” As noted above, Djevdet Bey also assembled what he called “butcher battalions” for the same purpose.

In June 1915, Interior Minister Talât told the German ambassador that the Ottomans were exploiting the crisis of the war to “thoroughly clear Turkey of her internal enemies, i.e. the Christians.” Talât told Ambassador Morgenthau that his “national policy” was that these different blocs in the Turkish Empire…had always conspired against Turkey; because of the hostility of these native populations, Turkey had lost province after province—Greece, Serbia, Rumania, Bulgaria, Bosnia, Herzegovina, Egypt and Tripoli. In this way the Turkish Empire had dwindled almost to the vanishing point. If what was left of Turkey was to survive, added Talaăt, he must get rid of these alien peoples. “Turkey for the Turks” was now Talaat’s controlling idea.

The Young Turks, Ambassador Morgenthau learned, had decided “to establish a country exclusively for Turks,” so their “passion for Turkifying the nation seemed to demand logically the extermination of all Christians.” As a telegram from the German ambassador in Constantinople reported, Talât spoke in similar terms “without reservation” to a German diplomat, stating that the Ottoman government is intent on taking advantage of the World War in order to [make a] clean sweep of internal enemies—the indigenous Christians—without being hindered in doing so by diplomatic intervention from other countries. Such an undertaking will serve the interest of the Germans, the Allies of Turkey, which thus in turn could be strengthened.

The policy of a “clean sweep” to rid the Ottomans of “alien peoples” was translated into action by local commanders with close ties to the central government. In February 1915, Djevdet Bey, military governor of Van and brother-in-law of Enver Pasha himself, stated, “We have made a clean sweep [literally, “clean table”] of the Armenians and Syrians of Azerbeijan [northern Persia]; we must do the same with the Armenians of Van.” The previous month, he had invaded Persia and “massacred the Assyro-Chaldean populations of Persian Azerbeijan.”

By 1915, therefore, the CUP had created extermination squads and adopted “a crystallized policy of empire-wide killing and death-by-attrition.” The Ottoman government’s religious figurehead, the Sheik al-Islam, resigned from the Sultan’s Cabinet after protesting “the extermination of the [Ottoman] Christian elements.” Ambassador Ernst Wilhelm Hohenlohe reported that the Ottoman “government is resolved…to eliminate the indigenous Christians.” A telegram from Mosul to the German consul in Constantinople related news from the leaders of the Assyrian and Chaldean churches that “the Muslims in the district of Amadia planned a general Christian massacre and had already begun with it; the governor admits the fact and
the policy seems to be, if not quite to stir it up, to restrain it not very energetically.”

Lepsius added that “all Christians have suffered the same fate without differentiation as to race or denomination.”

Along with the Armenians, the Assyrians were targeted as a group of non-Turkish Christians in a way that “can only be explained by the CUP’s increasingly radical ideology of ethnic [and religious] exclusivity.” As Peter Balakian has demonstrated, by 1915 one million people had died in “the extermination of innocent civilians in Turkey (the Armenians, but also Syrian and Assyrian Christians and large portions of the Greek population . . . ).” Such a speedy and well-organized annihilation of the indigenous Christians of the Ottoman Empire could scarcely have taken place other than as a result of intentional planning and execution.

In any event, the intention on the part of Ottoman officials to exterminate their Armenian and Assyrian subjects need not be proven exclusively by means of confessions or admissions. As the International Criminal Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and for Rwanda have made clear, “genocidal intent [may] be inferred from the physical acts and specifically ‘their massive and/or systematic nature of their atrocity.’” The Tribunals have recognized that even “in the absence of a confession from the accused, his intent can be inferred from . . . the perpetration of other culpable acts systematically directed against that same group, whether these acts were committed by the same offender or by others.”

Thus, the element of specific intent to commit genocide may be based upon the testimony of the victims and direct physical evidence, such that a confession or admission of genocidal intent is not necessary. Evidence of many “culpable acts [that] were perpetrated systematically against the same group,” including those committed by “other perpetrators,” may suffice as evidence of intent. Mass rape targeting Assyrian women and children, and the consequent interference with births within and reproductive survival of the group, also manifested a genocidal intent. The deportation of the Assyrians, and the consequent deprivation of their established means of sustenance, shelter, and dignified living, was a genocidal policy. The dispossession of the Assyrians from their homes and agricultural lands, moreover, tended to deprive them of the conditions necessary for bare life, let alone a civilized or dignified life, and therefore served to destroy the group as such. In sum, the “inhuman treatment, torture, rape, sexual abuse and deportation” of the Assyrians, along with the “deliberate destruction” of their houses and places of worship, establishes an intention by Ottoman officials to exterminate them as a group.

Some may argue that it matters little to the victims, or to us, whether the Ottomans committed genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, extermination, “ethnic cleansing,” persecution, “atrocities,” or simple murder. It is not clear, however, that each of these other crimes outlaws conduct short of murder that causes deaths or prevents births within an ethnic or religious group with the intent of destroying all or part of the group, such as “causing serious . . . mental harm to members of the group,” “deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part,” or “imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group.” Moreover, the concept and legal category of genocide must be invoked for the sake of applying a consistent standard of international law and in order to grasp the full implications and seriousness of what happened to the Assyrians from 1914 to 1918, not to mention 1844 to 1846, 1896 to 1904, and 1918 to 1933. As Raphael Lemkin wrote in coinining the term, “genocide” was intended to cover just such a situation, in which “a co-ordinated plan of different actions aiming at the
destruction of essential foundations of the life of national groups” is executed. Genocide is a particularly grave violation of international law precisely because the world loses “future contributions” that would be “based upon [the destroyed group’s] genuine traditions, genuine culture, and...well-developed national psychology.”

The widespread devastation of Assyrian communities, cultural property, and young people with the potential to enlighten and fascinate the entire world was just such an appalling loss to the region and to humanity.

The Struggle for Recognition of the Assyrian Genocide

The Armenian state and diaspora population have secured widespread international recognition of the Armenian Genocide by Western governments and international institutions otherwise on good terms with Turkey. US presidents Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan, and George W. Bush have each acknowledged the Armenian Genocide. The House of Representatives of the United States has passed several resolutions recognizing the genocide of the Armenians, and at least twenty-three US states have commemorated or officially recognized the Armenian genocide.

The United Nations, the European Parliament, and the Catholic Church have acknowledged the Armenian Genocide as a historical fact. France, which has the largest Armenian diaspora population outside the United States, has acknowledged the Armenian genocide through its parliament. Its foreign minister has gone so far as to state that Turkey must “recognize this tragedy” before applying for membership in the European Union. Along with France, the parliaments of Russia, Canada, Argentina, Poland, Greece, Switzerland, and Belgium have passed resolutions on the genocide.

By comparison, no US president, congressional body, or US state has recognized the Assyrian genocide; nor has the United Nations, any European state, or any prominent scholar of the Armenian genocide, so far as the author is aware. Part of their reluctance may be due to the more extensive historical documentation of Ottoman confessions and admissions of anti-Armenian extermination policies. Although a great deal of the evidence of genocidal intent deals with Christians more broadly, much of it is specific to the elimination of the Armenian people.

A requirement of a confession or other direct evidence of genocidal intent is not supported by the law, however. The evidence of Ottoman-directed massacres, rapes, deportations, and property expropriations is more than sufficient to establish a pattern of systematic and discriminatory attacks on Assyrians from which a genocidal intent may be inferred. As the tribunal hearing the case of Slobodan Milosevic held, while direct evidence of genocide is theoretically possible, genocidal intent will more typically be inferred from systematic attacks on or targeting of a group, atrocities on a large scale, or repetitive “destructive and discriminatory acts.”

Aside from questions about the sufficiency of the documentary evidence, the Assyrians may have struggled unsuccessfully to achieve recognition of their experience of genocide because fewer absolute numbers of them than of the Armenians survived the Ottoman genocide of Christians. As a smaller population, the Assyrians suffered fewer total deaths than the Armenians, failed to win statehood after World War I, and did not mount similarly ambitious and effective lobbying efforts. The worldwide Armenian population stands at an estimated nine to ten million people, substantially larger than the estimated four million Assyrians living around the world. The three million Armenians living in and controlling the state of Armenia outnumber and can outmaneuver the 600,000 to one million Assyrians.
living in but largely excluded from political power in their traditional Mesopotamian homeland. The estimated one million Armenians living in the United States, concentrated in southern California, also exercise dramatically more political clout than the 350,000 marginalized Assyrians dispersed across central California, Michigan, and Illinois.

Preventing Genocide and Ethnic Cleansing against the Assyrians in Present-Day Iraq

Present-day Iraq is a state at high risk of genocide, according to a model for early warning of genocidal violence developed for the US government. Many of the warning signs of previous genocides, such as those in Turkey, German-occupied Europe, Yugoslavia, and Rwanda, are present in Iraq, including demonization of minority groups, unfair scapegoating of minorities for the problems of the majority population, and refugee flight.

This would be the most recent such genocidal assault against the Assyrians, after the Ottoman genocide of Christians, the massacre of up to 3,000 Assyrians by Iraqi armed forces and Kurdish militia in 1933, and the disappearance of 1,000 Assyrians during the Ba'athist “Arabization” and “Anfal” campaigns of the 1970s and 1980s. “Military forces destroyed many Assyrian churches during the Anfal Campaign, and reportedly tortured and executed many Assyrians.”

Assyrians suffered from chemical weapons attacks in Halabja and elsewhere. Widespread discrimination against Iraqi Christians and Kurds in the name of “Arabization” continued into 2001, especially in the area around Kirkuk, and drove 100,000 people from their homes and villages. Between 1963 and 1987, the Iraqi government destroyed about 200 majority Assyrian villages in the provinces of Nineveh, Dohuk, and Arbil. Many of these villages housed 100 to 200 families each. The Iraqi government razed almost twenty-five churches, monasteries, and religious-run orphanages during this period. Assyrian political activists have also claimed that up to 40,000 Assyrians were conscripted and killed, wounded, taken prisoner, or went missing during the Iran–Iraq War.

Hundreds of thousands of Assyrians fled Iraq during Saddam Hussein’s rule from 1979 to 2003. Up to half of the Assyrian population has fled Iraq since 1991. As British political journalist Alastair Bruton has pointed out in the New York Times, the Kurdish regions of Iraq and Turkey were subject to “ethnic cleansing” for over a decade, as “the Kurds have driven tens of thousands of Assyrians and Chaldeans into exile, and yet Western commentators persist in their naive belief that the Kurds are the only oppressed people in the region.”

Millions of Assyrians and Chaldeans now live in exile, including about 400,000 in the United States and hundreds of thousands more in the European Union, Russia, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and other nations offering asylum to victims of religious persecution.

The vice-chair of the US Commission on International Religious Freedom has warned of a new “ethnic-cleansing campaign” against Assyrians, with violence against Assyrians intensifying since the 2003 war to depose Saddam Hussein. Human-rights reports issued in the years immediately preceding the 2003 war by the United Nations and the governments of the United States and the United Kingdom cited isolated killings and widespread ethnic and religious discrimination against Assyrians. By comparison, similar reports issued since the 2003 war acknowledge “systematic attacks” against Assyrians. Among other incidents, “more than 100 Christians had been murdered after the U.S.-led war,” including eleven people
killed during bombings of Christian churches and seven people riding on a bus who were massacred in one day in October 2004; 272 three Christians were killed in Basra for selling alcohol, and Christian women there have been assaulted for not wearing veils, prompting most Christian families formerly living in Basra to flee fundamentalism in Iraq 273 and a campaign of kidnappings has terrorized Iraqi Christians at a rate of two or three disappeared per week in Baghdad alone. 274

Half of those Christians who remained in Iraq after the fall of Saddam Hussein’s regime have since been driven from the country by horrific violence and medieval fundamentalism. 275 About 300,000 Christians fled their homes in Iraq between March 2003 war and August 2005 alone, many languishing as refugees in Syria, Lebanon, Jordan, Turkey, and Iran. 276 About 80,000 have emigrated out of Iraq altogether, while the remainder is presumably displaced internally. 277 More than 15,000 Assyrians left Iraq in just three months after a coordinated series of church bombings in August 2004. 278

Preventing the dispossession and exile of the Christians of Iraq will require acknowledging their historical persecution and taking concrete steps to block its recurrence. The failure to acknowledge and punish the perpetrators of the Ottoman genocide has probably emboldened other despots in the region, notably the rulers of Iraq, Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Sudan, to massacre and persecute their Christian and non-Arab minorities. After all, once American and British diplomats admitted abandoning their Christian allies among the Armenians, Assyrians, and Greeks to the massacres of the Turks and Kurds, 279 why should future Turkish, Arab, or Kurdish authorities fear international laws against oppressing minorities?

The example of the German Holocaust of Jews, Slavs, Roma, leftists, homosexuals, and other minorities also underlines the importance of punishing one genocide in order to deter others. Near the end of World War II in Europe, an American official with firsthand knowledge of the persecution of the Jews and other minorities in Europe reported that the “failure to punish criminals of World War I may well have removed a deterrent to the commission of brutalities against civilian populations in this war, including the mass murder of the Jews.” 280 Nazi officials at the highest levels perceived Allied tolerance of genocidal policies toward racial and religious minorities in World War I as a green light to engage in the same practices in World War II. Adolf Hitler, noting that history often views a mass-murdering conqueror such as Genghis Khan as “the great founder of States,” stated that

in the East I have put my death-head formations in place with the command relentlessly and without compassion to send into death many women and children of Polish origin and language…. Who after all is today speaking about the destruction of the Armenians? 281

Likewise, Joseph Goebbels recorded in his diary in 1942 his belief that “both the English and the Americans are happy that we are exterminating the Jewish riff-raff.” 282

Conversely, the international norm against genocide has been shown to be effective under certain circumstances, even against a high Nazi official in the midst of an unprecedented world war. At the close of World War II in Europe, key Holocaust architect Heinrich Himmler “ordered an end to the death marches of the Jews, fearing that continued murders would embarrass him in talks with America.” 283 Other populations have been spared the continuation of genocidal campaigns started against them; examples of this phenomenon include the residents of independent Armenia, Israel, East Pakistan (Bangladesh), Bosnia, and East Timor. 284
It is beyond the scope of this paper, but a fertile ground for further research, to ask whether the Assyrians of Iraq, who have been dispersed into non-viable minority communities since the Ottoman genocide, would be better served by liberalizing refugee and asylum laws to facilitate their resettlement in the West, or whether, in addition to or in lieu of such liberalization, they require the establishment of a safe haven from religious persecution inside Iraq. The global asylum system is not currently adequate to deal with the flood of Assyrian refugees out of Iraq, who often end up dying en route to the West, or being imprisoned for illegal entry. A safe haven inside Iraq for Assyrians unable to resettle in the West would find ample support in Assyrians’ right to self-determination under international law, which long predated Iraq’s new “permanent” constitution. Without international support for such an Assyrian safe haven, tens of thousands of Christian refugees may continue to flee Iraq each year.

Whether the solution to their plight lies in international immigration or in local autonomy, the Assyrians desperately need financial support for resettling their refugees and replacing the homes, villages, and personal and cultural property destroyed over the past century by the Turks, Arabs, and Kurds. Genocide and ethnic cleansing give rise to legally enforceable claims for reparation and restoration of property and the value of lives lost. Perhaps because their genocide has rarely been recognized, the Assyrians driven from their homes over the past century have received relatively little by way of compensation or assistance with rebuilding. Although the United States has spent close to $3 billion on the reconstruction of northern Iraq, it seems that less than $35 million has gone to Assyrian towns and villages. Local Iraqi leaders have systematically excluded Assyrians from the distribution of reconstruction assistance. By comparison, the United Nations has forced Iraqis to pay over $19.2 billion in compensation to those harmed by the 1991 Iraqi invasion of Kuwait, which caused far fewer deaths than even the Anfal campaign of the 1980s, let alone the Ottoman genocide of the Armenians and Assyrians.

To make an Assyrian safe haven a viable option for Christian refugees, a just proportion of the Iraqi reconstruction spending authorized by the United States and the international community would need to be specifically earmarked to security, resettlement, and rebuilding of at least those Assyrian villages destroyed in the ethnic cleansing campaigns of the Saddam Hussein regime. Independent Assyrian administrators could be charged with spending these funds, to prevent their continuing to be diverted to other Iraqis. With this international support, Assyrian victims of religious persecution in Iraq and neighboring states such as Syria, Jordan, Turkey, and Iran who are denied entry into Europe, North America, and so on could rebuild their lives in a safe haven.

**Conclusion: Recognizing a Legacy**

Many analogies may be drawn between the experience of the Assyrians during World War I and other acknowledged genocides, including not only the Armenian Genocide but also the Holocaust of Jews, Slavs, Roma, leftists, homosexuals, and other minorities under Nazi occupation during World War II. The Assyrians and other Ottoman Christians, like the Jews, had suffered from centuries of discrimination and official segregation; were charged with being agents of foreign powers and scapegoated for military defeats and looming threats in a rhetoric of ethnic elimination; and were physically and culturally exterminated in large numbers by means of massacres,
rapes, expulsions, and attacks on homes and religious institutions carried out by genocidal state apparatuses and local irregular forces. Just as the Holocaust reached its full expression only after the invasion of Poland and the world war with Britain and the Soviet Union, so the genocide of Christian populations reached its most intense phase only after the outbreak of war with Britain and Russia and the Ottoman invasion of Persia.

Although the primary blame for the genocide of the Assyrians lies with the Ottoman officials who distributed the proclamations of jihad, massacred civilians and outraged women, and instigated their Kurdish and Persian allies to do the same, the West bears a heavy responsibility. Disgraceful rivalries among the Great Powers facilitated Turkish violations against the Armenians, Assyrians, Greeks, and other Christian and non-Christian minorities, both during the waning years of the Ottoman Empire and in the independent Kemalist Turkey that followed it. Britain’s alliance with Turkey during the Crimean War repelled a Russian attempt to liberate the Ottoman Christians from the subjugation and periodic slaughter to which they had been condemned by Turkish rule. After the Hamidiye massacres and during World War I, the Germans acted as the Ottomans’ Christian ally, actually encouraging the Sultan to declare a jihad against the Christian allies of the British, without regard for the consequences.

This dolorous history continued throughout the twentieth century, with Western powers such as the United States, Great Britain, and France financing and aiding oppressive Turkish and Arab rule over the Christian remnant in Asia and even in Europe, in the case of Cyprus. Western powers largely ignored abuses against Christians in Turkey and Iraq, continuing to extend military aid and diplomatic support. The United States remains the principal supplier of Turkish military equipment, which is used to blockade tiny landlocked Armenia and threaten military intervention against it for protecting the ethnic Armenians of Azerbaijan. The Soviet Union, for its part, was the principal source of Iraqi weaponry in the late 1980s, the period of the Anfal and Arabization campaigns.

Unfortunately, the West has rejected the idea of solidarity with the Christians of the Middle East, prioritizing diplomacy based on oil interests and the Arab–Israeli conflict. Thus, the United States, Britain, and France have largely ignored the persecutions of the Christians of Iraq, Lebanon, Egypt, and Sudan, while rushing to save the oil-rich Muslim states of Saudi Arabia and Kuwait, as well as besieged minority Kurds, Bosnians, and Kosovars. To this day, American troops in Iraq reportedly do not always intervene against the persecution of Christians, perhaps not wanting to be seen as “siding with the Christians” and thus provoke retaliation.

As the West, and the world in general, becomes more familiar with the history of the Armenians, Assyrians, and other victims of genocide, the prospects for adequate reparation for such events, and their future prevention, may improve. This essay has demonstrated that the Ottoman genocide of the Assyrians took place, that it followed centuries of violent persecution of the Assyrians by Muslim rulers, that it intensified after the outbreak of international war against Western Christian nations, and that it was implemented by Ottoman troops and their local militia allies via massacre, systematic rape, deportation, the destruction of homes and villages, and cultural annihilation. These findings may contribute to identifying and preventing other cases of genocide against Christian minorities living in majority Muslim states, such as Sudan and Nigeria, in which religiously motivated massacres are becoming more common.
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